4

Statistical Literacy Survey

1

MILO SCHIELD

Augsburg College Dept of Business Administration Director, W. M. Keck Statistical Literacy Project www.StatLit.org Schield@Augsburg.edu

ICOTS-7

5 July 2006 2006SchieldICOTS6Up.pdf

Conditional Probabilities

Thesis: It is **difficult** to decipher (much less to write) a description or comparison of rates or percentages as presented in tables and graphs.

Survey: To ascertain the ability of collegetrained adults to decipher these statistics.

Subjects: college students (mostly working adults), professionals (mostly government data analysts) and college teachers (mostly IASE).

Survey Subjects and Statistics Training

College students (85): Over half are working adults

Data Professionals (47): US Census Bureau and South African Statistical Service.

College Teachers (37): 14 US and 23 at ICOTS-6

STATISTICS TRAINING:

1+ courses: 78% of college teachers (87% of data analysts)2+ courses: 29% of college teachers (34% of data analysts)

Survey Subjects Comfort with Stats

"Very comfortable" dealing with *formal statistics*: sampling distributions, confidence intervals.

- 0% of students,
- 30% of data analysts and
- 57% of college professors.

"Very comfortable" dealing with *informal statistics*: rates and percentages in tables and graphs

- 7% of students,
- 62% of data analysts and
- 76% of college professors.









100% Row Table: Descriptions

9

Q23. 25% of females are blacks? [No] 44%, 28%, 11%

Q25. 25% is the percentage of blacks among females? [No] 38%, 28%, 16%.

	SE		
RACE	Male	Female	TOTAL
Black	75% 🤇	25%) 100%
White	50%	50%	100%
Other	40%	60%	100%
TOTAL	50%	50%	100%

100% Row Table: Comparisons

Q27. Whites are two times as likely to be female than are blacks? [No] 60%, 53%, 57%.

Q28. Females are two times as likely to be white as to be black? [No] 44%, 38%, 19%.

O29. Whites are	SEX		S	
two times more	RACE	Male	Female	TOTAL
iwo times more	Black	75% 🤇	25%	100%
likely to be female	White	50%	50%	100%
than are blacks?	Other	40%	60%	100%
[No] 65% 49% 46%	TOTAL	50%	50%	100%
[10] 05/0, 49/0, 40/0.				

Two-Way Half Tables: Descriptions

20% of runners are female smokers? No: 55%, 53%, 30% 20% of females are runners who smoke? No: 53%, 55%, 32% 20% of female smokers are runners? Yes: 62%, 55%, 54% 20% of smokers are females who run? No: 42%, 36%, 27%

PERCENTAGE WHO ARE RUNNERS					
Non-smoker Smoker Total					
Female	50%	$\bigcirc 20\%$	40%		
Male	25%	10%	20%		
Total	37%	15%	30%		

Two-Way Half Tables: Comparisons

36. The percentage of runners is twice as much among female smokers as among male smokers? Yes: 42%, 47%, 46%

37. The percentage of smokers who run is twice as much among females as among males? Yes: 41%, 55%, 49%

PERCENTAGE WHO ARE RUNNERS					
Non-smoker Smoker Total					
Female	50%	(20%)	40%		
Male	25%	10%	20%		
Total	37%	15%	30%		

16

Simpson's Paradox

13

A research hospital had a higher death rate than a rural hospital. Each patient's condition was classified as either "poor" or "fair."

Q43. Is it possible that this research hospital had a lower death rate than this rural hospital for those patients in "poor" condition AND for those patients in "fair" condition?

Choice of answers: Yes, No, Don't know.

[Yes, possible.] Error rates: 44%, 68%, 41%.

Multiple Half-Tables: Description

Assume, "In 1990" ahead of each statement:

Q45. 26.2% of blacks were smokers. Yes: 60%, 43%, 19% Q46. 26.2% of smokers were black. No: 72%, 62%, 32%.

Table (Table 3: Percentage of Smoking Prevalence						
Year	All	All Male Female White Black				Black	
1955		56.9	28.4				
1965	42.4	51.9	33.9		42.1	45.8	
1980	33.2	37.6	29.3		32.9	36.9	
1990	25.5	28.4	22.8		25.6	26.2	

15 **Multiple Half-Tables:** Description

Assume, "In US in 1996" ahead of each statement:

• 6% of low-weight births were in Calif. No: 60%, 43%, 19%

• 6% of Calif. births were low-weight. Yes: 39%, 36%, 11%

Percent of Births with Low Birth Weight						
State	1990 1995 1996					
U.S.	7	7.3	7.4			
2						
Alabama (AL)	8.4	9	9.3			
California (CA)	5.8	6.1	(6)			

Multiple Half-Tables: Comparison

Q52. In the US in 1996, there were more low-weight births in Alabama (AL) than in California (CA).

[No. No named ratio keyword] Errors: 66%, 68%, 30%.

Percent of Births with Low Birth Weight						
State 1990 1995 1996						
U.S.	7	7.3	7.4			
27						
Alabama (AL)	8.4	9	9.3			
California (CA)	5.8	6.1	б			

17 **Multiple Half-Tables:** Description

Q53. 10% of these women who received an HIV test were 40-44? No: 78%, 55%, 19%.

	Table 5: Percent of Women, 15 to 44,				
	who Received Selected Medical Services				
0.54 1007 0.1	Age	HIV	Pregnancy	Pap	
Q54. 10% of these	15-19	14.6	16.1	33.5	
women 40 to 44	20-24	20	27.4	68.7	
had an HIV test?	25-29	25.6	25.3	70.9	
Yes:	30-34	18.5	17.4	69.5	
66%, 68%, 30%.	35-39	14.2	8.1	62.9	
	40-44	(10)	4.3	62.7	
	ALL	17.3	16	61.9	

Multiple Half-Tables: Comparison

Q57. Women 40-44 were twice as likely to have an HIV test as were women 20-24? [Yes] 32%, 26%, 14%.

Q59. Women 20-24	Percent of Women, 15 to 44, who Received Selected Medical Services				
were two times	Age	HIV	Pregnancy	Pap	
more likely to have	15-19	14.6	16.1	33.5	
an HIV test than	20-24	(20)	27.4	68.7	
were women 40-44?	25-29	25.6	25.3	70.9	
[No]	30-34	18.5	17.4	69.5	
82%, 60%, 81%,	35-39	14.2	8.1	62.9	
/,,,	40-44	(10)	4.3	62.7	
	ALL	17.3	16	61.9	

24

Survey Evaluation

19

21

23

Possible answers: Strongly agree, Moderately agree, Moderately disagree and Strongly disagree.

- Q64. This survey was much more difficult than I thought it would be. 25%, 50%, 20%, 5%
- Q66. *This survey was unnecessarily tricky*. 24%, 27%, **36%**, 14%
- Q68. These tables and graphs are the kind I need or want to be able to read or understand. **53%**, 37%, 7%, 4%.
- Q69. College students should be able to read these kinds of tables and graphs. 63%, 29%, 5%, 2%.

20 21 22 22% of respondents agreed that "College students should be able to read these tables and graphs." 25% of respondents agreed that "This survey was much more difficult than I thought it would be." 25% of students misread a description 26% of professionals misread a "times as" compare. 20% of students (28% of pros) misread a description. 20% of teachers misread "times more" compare

StatLit Survey Error Rate

- The average error rate was about
- 50% for college students,
- 45% for data analysts and
- 30% for college teachers.

Using data analysts' 80th percentile score (67% correct), the following reached that level:

- 5% of students,
- 20% of data analysts
- 45% of college teachers

Conclusion

Describing and comparing rates & percentages is conditional probability in ordinary English.

Statistical educators will be seen as negligent if most of their students cannot read – much less write – descriptions & comparisons of rates & percentages as presented in tables and graphs.

Statistical educators should accept responsibility for teaching students how to read and write ordinary English descriptions and comparisons of rates and percentages as found in tables and graphs.

Recommendations

Try out the simple 5-table survey on your students: <u>www.StatLit.org/Survey</u>. Paper copy available.

- Try out the on-line grammar checker program. <u>www.StatLit.org/RSVP</u>.
- Give your students a table or graph involving rates or percentages. Have them describe a single ratio (or compare two ratios) using ordinary English.
- Try teaching this in your intro stats class.

Related Articles at www.StatLit.org

Schield, Milo (2004). *Statistical Literacy and Liberal Education at Augsburg College*. AAC&U Peer Review. See www.StatLit.org/pdf/2004SchieldAACU.pdf.

Schield, Milo (2000). Difficulties in Describing and Comparing Rates and Percentages. 2000 ASA Section on Statistical Education. P. 176. See www.StatLit.org/pdf/2000SchieldASA.pdf.

Schield, Milo (2001). Statistical Literacy: Reading Tables of Rates and Percentage. ASA Proceedings of Statistical Education Section. See www.StatLit.org/pdf/2001SchieldASA.pdf

Schield, Milo (2004). *Statistical Literacy Curriculum Design*. IASE. See www.StatLit.org/pdf/2004SchieldIASE.pdf.