


In short an innumerate citizen today is as vulnerable as the illiterate peasant of Gutenberg's time.
Although the widespread availability of data should enrich public discourse, inevitable over-simplifications and misinterpretations may ultimately cheapen it. ... Instead of enhancing Jeffersonian democracy, limited numeracy can easily shift the balance to a technocracy.

Innumeracy thus becomes another means of disenfranchisement: by reinforcing the idea that truth is relative and unknowable, people with the least defenses against charlatans will be most vulnerable.



## 11 <br> What is the Most Important Thing to Know about Statistics?

A better metaphor would be to suggest that statistics are like jewels; that is,
they have to be selected, they have to be cut, they have to be polished, and they have to be placed in settings so that they can be viewed from particular angles.

Joel Best, Sociologist


## What is the Most Important Thing to Know about Statistics?

Statistics are socially constructed: the products of social activities.
There's a tendency in our culture to believe that statistics-that numbers-are little nuggets of truth.
That we can come upon them and pick them up very much the way a rock collector picks up stones.

##  What follows from being Socially Constructed?

Numbers can't be influenced. $1+1=2$

Statistics can be influenced. $1+1$ may equal 2

One gallon of antifreeze and one gallon of water do not yield two gallons. The combination of large and small molecules takes up less space.

## Association is not causation

This statement is ambiguous. It can mean:
1 Association is not sufficient to prove causation
2 Association provides no evidence for causation.

Teachers may intend \#1; students often hear \#2.

A better statement would be:
Association is typically evidence of causation.

| A-B-C Words: A = Association |
| :---: |
| Statistical association: an observable connection. |
| Association: <br> - Height is associated with age in children <br> - Obesity is correlated with (related to) diabetes. |
| Prediction: <br> - Graduating from high school predicts success in life. |

## v1 <br> A-B-C Words: <br> B = Between

Between words describe association but imply causation Verbs: Red wine cuts cancer risk. TV ups kids' risk of flunking. Gene X increases health risk. Smoking raises asthma risk. Connectors: Nuts linked to cancer. Trauma tied to heart disease Contributor Diet contributes to diabetes. Age is factor in infertility Nouns: Spinach is asthma protector. Bad water is a killer. Logicals: Anxiety increased due to (because of) high stake testing
*Compare: People who take antidepressants have fewer migraines Asthma attacks more likely for smokers than non-smokers. *ovariation: As teacher pay increases, student scores increase.

The more hours worked, the more likely a promotion *Manipulation is possible, and treatment and outcome are repeatable.



2nd hunter: No, it's not hopeless.
I don't have to outrun the bear. I just have to outrun you!


A father and his children were on a subway.
The children were out of control: jumping on seats, yelling, and throwing things.
The father did nothing.
He slumped forward looking down at the floor, his head between his hands.
Finally an unhappy onlooker called on the father to take control of his kids.

| ${ }^{\mathrm{v} 1}$Confouncling <br> Without statistics |
| :--- |
| The father looked up sadly and said: |
| We just left the hospital where their mom died. |
| Immediately the negative judgments were |
| transformed into pity for this family. |
| The onlookers were confused - confounded - |
| by a confounder: the death of the kids' mom. |





##  <br> Statistical Influences: Assembly \#1

Claims about college students:
Administration: $80 \%$ of are 'satisfied'.
Students: 70\% are not 'satisfied'.
Same data:
Happy (30\%), OK (50\%), Unhappy (20\%)
Q. Who is correct?
A. Both are. Different definitions of 'satisfied'.

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statistical Influences : Assembly \#2 |  |  |  |
| Living with AIDs |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { All } \\ (1,000) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | White (nonHispanic) | Black (non- <br> Hispanic) | Hispanic |
| 434 | 150 | 186 | 78 |

Two claims about groups living with AIDS:

1. More blacks than whites.
2. More whites than blacks.


V1

## Stat Influences :

 RandommessSport Illustrated Curse
Those people featured as best by Sports Illustrated seem cursed!

They don't do as well the next year. Why not?
Being the best one year is often coincidence.

| $\mathrm{v}_{1}$ |
| :--- |
| Statistical Literacy <br> deals with Ignorance |
| How was data collected? |
| C: What factors not taken into account? |
| A: How were things counted, measured, grouped? |
| R: How small is the group? |
| E: Are subjects telling the truth? |
| Often we don't know! We are ignorant! |
| We are not omniscient. |
| The solution? Think hypothetically! Plausible? |




Two-group comparisons:

- Women live longer than men

Two-factor covariation: ordinal \& quantitative

- The more height, the more weight
- As height increases, weight increases
- As height increases by $x$, weight increases by $y$
- For every additional $x$ in X, Y increases by $y$.


Two-factor covariation:

- As weight increases, height increases
- For every additional pound, height increases by a fifth of an inch.

Two interpretations:

- Snapshot: Change in focus (other people)
- Movie: Internal change (eat more pizza)


## V1 Sctield: 2019 NNN Annual Meeling <br> Two-Group Comparisons: Math vs. Ordinary English

Two is four times less than eight. [Sometimes]

- Ok when the subject cannot go negative: Revenues, incomes, sizes, weights, prices of houses/groceries,
- Ambiguous when it easily goes negative: Profits, temperatures, bank balances

Two exceptions:

- $6 \%$ is $4 \%$ more than $2 \%$ ?
- $6 \%$ is $200 \%$ more than $2 \%$. [( $6 \%-2 \%) / 2 \%$ ]
- $6 \%$ is 4 percentage points more than $2 \%$.



| Controlling Confounding: Control Of |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| CONTROL OF CONFOUNDERS |  |
| Physical Control (Grade = Quality) |  |
| Experiment | Observational Study |
| A+ Scientific | C Longitudinal |
| A- Random Assign | D Cross-sectional |
| B Quasi-Exper | F Anecdotal story |


| Controlling Confounding: Control For |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| CONTROLLING FOR CONFOUNDERS |  |
| Take into account (mental) |  |
| Can do by hand | Calculator/Computer |
| 1 Select/Stratify | 4 Linear Regression |
| 2 Form Ratios | 5 Logistic Regression |
| 3 Standardize | 6 Multivariate Regress |



## Crude Associations

A crude association is an association in which nothing else has been taken into account.
More likely to get pregnant: Younger adults

- that are shorter
- that don't shave daily
- That have longer hair

What one takes into account is an assumption. Teachers should say, "Check your assumptions."






V1 Schielc: 2019 NNN Annual Neeling 52

Two Kinds of Percents
Which kind of percents are these:
part-whole or percent compare?

1. One child's share of the candy.
2. Lifespan $100 \%$ longer: US than Swaziland
3. Advertisement: " $40 \%$ off"
4. Mafia interest rate: $10 \%$ per month

## Four Different Grammars: Percent, Percentage, Rate, Chance

1. $40 \%$ of adults did not vote [for president].

Among adults, $40 \%$ did not vote [for President].
2. The percentage of adults who didn't vote was $40 \%$

The percentage of non-voters among adults was $40 \%$
3. The non-voter rate of $\mid$ for adults was $40 \%$.

The rate of non-voters among adults was $40 \%$.
4. There is a $40 \%$ chance that an adult was a non-voter. Adults had a $40 \%$ chance of not voting.


1. $60 \%$ of adults voted.

The percentage of adults who voted is $60 \%$.
2. $60 \%$ of male adults voted.

Percentage of male adults who voted is $60 \%$.
3. $60 \%$ of adults who are men voted.

Percentage of adults who are men who voted is $60 \%$.
[Convert \#3 to \#2 first.]

## V1 Schied: 2019 mNNAnnual Neeting <br> 56 <br> Confusion of the Inverse: Exchanging Part with Whole

1. Simple reversal:
"The percentage of men who are in the military" versus
"the percentage of the military who are men".
2. Tricky grammar reversal:
"The percentage of smokers who are women" versus
"The percentage of smokers among women".
3. Plausible claim, but the inverse is what is needed:
"Most CEOs had a pet as a child" versus
"Must children who had a pet became CEOs" or
"Children who had a pet are more likely to become CEOs".
v1
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## Statistical Literacy

is Quantitative Rhetoric
Deals with statistics in arguments.
Much of today's 'fake news' involves the use or misuse of statistics in arguments.

Students need statistical literacy in order to understand and evaluate the claims being made.

Students need statistical literacy to become critical thinkers in a complex modern democracy.

# What is Numeracy 

# by <br> Milo Schield, NNN VP 

NNN Annual Meeting<br>Austin CC Oct 13, 2019

www.StatLit.org/pdf/
2019-Schield-NNN-Slides.pdf

## Steen (1997) Why Numbers Count

Numeracy is the new literacy of our age.
Whatever this phrase [Q/L] may mean-and as the essays in this volume testify, it means very different things to different people.
It [Q/L] requires a working synthesis of literacy and numeracy; it evolves with technology; and it both shapes and is shaped by society.

## Steen (1997) Why Numbers Count

Regardless of name-numeracy, mathematics, quantitative literacy, or the derisive "'rithmetic," -this kind of literacy is widely recognized as of fundamental importance.
Yet beyond "the basics," there is little agreement about specific goals appropriate for tomorrow's world. No wonder, then, that we have made so little progress in achieving numeracy.
Mere Literacy is not Enough. George Cobb

## Steen (1997) Why Nurnbers Cournt

In short an innumerate citizen today is as vulnerable as the illiterate peasant of Gutenberg's time.
Although the widespread availability of data should enrich public discourse, inevitable over-simplifications and misinterpretations may ultimately cheapen it. ... Instead of enhancing Jeffersonian democracy, limited numeracy can easily shift the balance to a technocracy. Innumeracy thus becomes another means of disenfranchisement: by reinforcing the idea that truth is relative and unknowable, people with the least defenses against charlatans will be most vulnerable.

## Steen (2001) <br> Mathernatics and Democracy

Numeracy is not so much about understanding abstract concepts as about applying elementary tools in sophisticated settings.
Numeracy is not the same as mathematics, nor is it an alternative to mathematics.
Rather, it is an equal and supporting partner in helping students learn to cope with the quantitative demands of modern society

## Perspectives on Numeracy

## NUMERACY

| Quantitative | Quantitative | Statistical | Statistical |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Reasoning | Literacy | Literacy | Reasoning |

## NUMERACY

What parts of math?
Topics Skills Models Patterns Thinking

## Perspectives on Numeracy

## NUMERACY

Which quantitative topics? Arithmetic Algebra Geometry

## NUMERACY

Audience: Which Majors??? Math/ Social Professions Humanities STEM Science Biz/Econ/etc English, Art

## Perspectives on Numeracy

## NUMERACY

Citizens
High School
College Grads

## NUMERACY

Studies the quantitative skills needed by educated citizens in a modern democracy.

## What is the Most Important Thing to Know about Statistics?

"All statistics are socially constructed." Joel Best, author Lies, Damned Lies \& Statistics
Doesn't mean that

- there is no reality, all statistics are imaginary

Means that people create statistics like diamonds


## What is the Most Important Thing to Know about Statistics?

Statistics are socially constructed: the products of social activities.
There's a tendency in our culture to believe that statistics-that numbers-are little nuggets of truth.

That we can come upon them and pick them up very much the way a rock collector picks up stones.

## What is the Most Important Thing to Know about Statistics?

A better metaphor would be to suggest that statistics are like jewels; that is, they have to be selected, they have to be cut, they have to be polished, and they have to be placed in settings so that they can be viewed from particular angles.

Joel Best, Sociologist

## What follows from being Socially Constructed?

Numbers can't be influenced. $1+1=2$

Statistics can be influenced. $1+1$ may equal 2

One gallon of antifreeze and one gallon of water do not yield two gallons. The combination of large and small molecules takes up less space.

## Association is not causation

This statement is ambiguous. It can mean:
1 Association is not sufficient to prove causation
2 Association provides no evidence for causation.

Teachers may intend \#1; students often hear \#2.

A better statement would be:
Association is typically evidence of causation.

## A-B-C Words: A = Association

Statistical association: an observable connection.

Association:

- Height is associated with age in children
- Obesity is correlated with (related to) diabetes.

Prediction:

- Graduating from high school predicts success in life.


## A-B-C Words: C = Causation

Causation: Lightning caused (resulted in) the fire. Insomnia is a side effect.

Sufficient: The more X you do, the more Y you will get. Prevent, stop, end, start, kill, produce, cure, avoid, ban, quit, block, ward off, stave off, cancel, hinder, or eliminate. ${ }^{6}$

Contra-factual: Those who do X will get more Y than if they had not done $X$.

Schield and Raymond (2009). www.StatLit.org/pdf/2009SchieldRaymondASA.pdf

## A-B-C Words: B = Between

Between words describe association but imply causation Verbs: Red wine cuts cancer risk. TV ups kids' risk of flunking. Gene X increases health risk. Smoking raises asthma risk. Connectors: Nuts linked to cancer. Trauma tied to heart disease. Contributor Diet contributes to diabetes. Age is factor in infertility Nouns: Spinach is asthma protector. Bad water is a killer. Logicals: Anxiety increased due to (because of) high stake testing
*Compare: People who take antidepressants have fewer migraines Asthma attacks more likely for smokers than non-smokers. *Covariation: As teacher pay increases, student scores increase. The more hours worked, the more likely a promotion *Manipulation is possible, and treatment and outcome are repeatable.

## A-B-C Words: Distribution in Feadlines

Of the 2,000 news headlines analyzed ${ }^{6}$, $\mathbf{7 1 \%}$ involved $A, B$ or $C$.

Of those headlines involving $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ or C ,

- $86 \%$ were "between" claims,
- $11 \%$ sufficiency, $3 \%$ causation, $3 \%$ association.

6. Schield and Raymond (2009).

## Critical Thinlking Inferences



Association is Not Causation.

## Prediction: Its hopeless! This bear is faster than we are



2nd hunter: No, it's not hopeless.
I don't have to outrun the bear.
I just have to outrun you!

## Specification: Cross-Level Inference

Protestants more likely to suicide than Catholics?


No. Reverse was true here. Catholics more likely.

## Statistical Studies as Evidence in Arguments



## Statistical Literacy

 Studies Statistics in ArgumentsThe Point or the Target

The more disputable the point, the stronger the evidence must be.

## Statistic As Evidence

"All Statistics are Socially Constructed" So, "Take CARE"!!
Statistics may be influenced by:

| $\mathbf{C}$ | A | R | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Context | Assembly | Randomness | Error |

## Take C.A.R.E Four Influences on Statistics

Statistics are influenced by
$C=$ Confounding: By related factors.
A = Assembly: By definitions and presentation.
$R=$ Randomness: By uncertainty or chance
$E=$ Error: By mistakes or bias.

Assembly is the etcetera category.

## Confounding Without Statistics

A father and his children were on a subway.
The children were out of control: jumping on seats, yelling, and throwing things.
The father did nothing.
He slumped forward looking down at the floor, his head between his hands.
Finally an unhappy onlooker called on the father to take control of his kids.

## Confounding Without Statistics

The father looked up sadly and said:
We just left the hospital where their mom died.
Immediately the negative judgments were transformed into pity for this family.

The onlookers were confused - confounded by a confounder: the death of the kids' mom.

## Statistical Influences: Confounding \#1

Adults who shave their faces tend to be taller than those who shave their legs.

Does face-shaving cause tallness?
Is this association confusing?
Is it confused by some outside factor? $\qquad$ . What is it?

Gender. Men tend to be taller than women. Men are more likely to shave their face.

## Statistical Influences: Confounding \#2

People that read home and fashion magazines are more likely to get pregnant than people that read car and sport magazines.

Are the magazines causing pregnancy?
Is the association confused by an outside factor?
What is this outside factor?
Gender! Women can get pregnant (men can't)
Gals more likely to read home/fashion magazines

## Assembly Fueled Brexit? Gross vs. Net (50\%):



## Assembly: Sharia math, then Sharia law!!!

## Should US Schools Teach Arabic Numbers?



Civic Science May 2019

## Statistical Influences: Assembly \#1

Claims about college students:
Administration: $80 \%$ of are 'satisfied'.
Students: $70 \%$ are not 'satisfied'.
Same data:
Happy (30\%), OK (50\%), Unhappy (20\%)
Q. Who is correct?
A. Both are. Different definitions of 'satisfied'.

## Statistical Influences: Assembly \#2

## Living with AIDs

| All <br> $(\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0})$ | White (non- <br> Hispanic) | Black (non- <br> Hispanic) | Hispanic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4 3 4}$ | 150 | 186 | 78 |

Two claims about groups living with AIDS:

1. More blacks than whites.
2. More whites than blacks.

## Assembly: Presentation <br> Child Abuse Statistics

Each year, more than 7,000 children in Minnesota are confirmed to be victims of physical or sexual abuse, emotional maltreatment, or neglect.


## Stat Literacy studies Stats as Evidence in Arguments

The Point or the Target

The more disputable the point, the stronger the evidence must be.

## Statistic As Evidence

"All Statistics are Socially Constructed" So, "Take CARE"!!
Statistics may be influenced by:

| $\mathbf{C}$ | A | R | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Context | Assembly | Randomness | Error |

## Stat Influences :

 RandomnessSport Illustrated Curse
Those people featured as best by Sports Illustrated seem cursed!

They don't do as well


The Cover that $\frac{\text { NoOne Would }}{\text { Pose for }}$ Is the $\frac{\text { SI }}{\text { for }} \frac{\text { Jinx }}{\text { Real? }}$
-
 the next year. Why not?
Being the best one year is often coincidence.

## Statistical Literacy deals with Ignorance

How was data collected?
C: What factors not taken into account?
A: How were things counted, measured, grouped?
R: How small is the group?
E: Are subjects telling the truth?
Often we don't know! We are ignorant! We are not omniscient.

The solution? Think hypothetically! Plausible?

## Controlling Confounding: 'Control Of' vs. 'Control fors'

1. Study design indicates "control of"
2. Comparisons and ratios indicate "control for"

Control for:

1. Comparisons control for a relevant basis
2. Ratios control for size of group
3. Comparisons of ratios control for both

## Associations: Two Kinds

Two-group comparisons:

- Women live longer than men

Two-factor covariation: ordinal \& quantitative

- The more height, the more weight
- As height increases, weight increases
- As height increases by $x$, weight increases by $y$
- For every additional $x$ in X, Y increases by $y$.


## Associations: Snapshot vs. Movie

Two-factor covariation:

- As weight increases, height increases
- For every additional pound, height increases by a fifth of an inch.

Two interpretations:

- Snapshot: Change in focus (other people)
- Movie: Internal change (eat more pizza)


## Two-Group Comparisons: Math vs. Ordinary English

## Arithmetic (Assembly):

- Six is three times two. [Math speak]
- Six is three times as much as two. [English]
- Six is $200 \%$ more than two.
- Six is two times more than two.

Two exceptions:

- $6 \%$ is $4 \%$ more than $2 \%$ ?
- $6 \%$ is $200 \%$ more than $2 \%$. [( $6 \%-2 \%) / 2 \%]$
- $6 \%$ is 4 percentage points more than $2 \%$.


## Two-Group Comparisons: Math vs. Ordinary English

Two is four times less than eight. [Sometimes]

- Ok when the subject cannot go negative:

Revenues, incomes, sizes, weights, prices of houses/groceries,

- Ambiguous when it easily goes negative:

Profits, temperatures, bank balances

## Prevalence of Comparisons Google Ngrams



## Confounding

What things block or negate confounders?

1. Large effect size; large arithmetic comparison
2. Study design
3. Ratios
4. Comparison of ratios.
5. Selection and stratification
6. Standardizing

## \#1 Effect Size

1. Does the association involve an effect size? If not, then no reason to think it is large
2. Is the effect size material? For example, a factor of 10 increase in 1 chance in 10,000 .
3. Is the effect size statistically significant?
4. Is the effect size large enough to ward off confounders? $\mathrm{A}: \mathrm{RR}>4, \mathrm{~B}: \mathrm{RR}>3, \mathrm{C}: \mathrm{RR}>2$, D: RR $>1.5$. Schield (2018, ICOTS).

## Controlling Confounding: Control Of

## CONTROL OF CONFOUNDERS

 Physical Control (Grade = Quality)Experiment
A+ Scientific
A- Random Assign
B Quasi-Exper

Observational Study
C Longitudinal
D Cross-sectional
F Anecdotal story

Controlling Confounding: Control For

## CONTROLLING FOR CONFOUNDERS

Take into account (mental)
Can do by hand $\quad$ Calculator/Computer
1 Select/Stratify
2 Form Ratios
3 Standardize
4 Linear Regression
5 Logistic Regression
6 Multivariate Regress

take into account


## Crude Associations

A crude association is an association in which nothing else has been taken into account.
More likely to get pregnant: Younger adults

- that are shorter
- that don't shave daily
- That have longer hair

What one takes into account is an assumption.
Teachers should say, "Check your assumptions."

## Statistical Literacy and Grammar

Grammar of comparisons
Grammar of named ratio families: percent, percentage, rate and chance

Grammar for comparing named ratios: Likely

## From Comparisons to Ratios: Using Prepositions

## ARITHMETIC COMPARISONS <br> Using Conjunctions or 'Change -By'

Difference:
more (greater) than increase by \#

Ratio:
times [as much as] increase by a factor of

Relative Difference : \% (times) more than increase by $X \%$

## RATIOS (Using Prepositions )

Common Prepositions : Of, in, for. To [4 to 3; 4-3; 4:3] 4 out of [every] 5; cut in half

## Per Grammar:

miles per gallon; mph
deaths per 1,000 men

## RATIOS (Using Prepositions )

Common Prepositions :
Of, in, for. To [4 to 3; 4-3; 4:3]
4 out of [every] 5; cut in half

## Named-Ratios

## Ratio Grammar:

ratio of women to men student-teacher ratio

Chance Grammar: odds/risk/probability chance of [our] winning; chance that we will win chance to win; chance for a win

> Per Grammar: miles per gallon; mph deaths per 1,000 men

## Named-Ratios

Percent Grammar:
85\% of military personnel are men
Percentage Grammar: fraction/share percentage of men who bet


Light-edge boxes need clause for part and whole (cannot compare ratios.
Dark-edge boxes have part and whole in phrases (can compare ratios)

## Prevalence of Named Ratios: nGrams



## Two Kinds of Percents

Which kind of percents are these: part-whole or percent compare?

1. One child's share of the candy.
2. Lifespan $100 \%$ longer: US than Swaziland
3. Advertisement: " $40 \%$ off"
4. Mafia interest rate: $10 \%$ per month

## Part-Whole Using Pie Charts Valid vs. Invalid

Of all adults.
2016 US Presidential Election


Recidivism Rate: US Prisoners


US Dept. of Justice statistics . 272,111 prisoners released in 1994.

## Four Different Grammars: Percent, Percentage, Rate, Chance

1. $40 \%$ of adults did not vote [for president]. Among adults, $40 \%$ did not vote [for President].
2. The percentage of adults who didn't vote was $40 \%$ The percentage of non-voters among adults was $40 \%$
3. The non-voter rate offfor adults was $40 \%$. The rate of non-voters among adults was $40 \%$.
4. There is a $40 \%$ chance that an adult was a non-voter. Adults had a $40 \%$ chance of not voting.

## Converting: <br> From Percent to Percentage

1. $60 \%$ of adults voted.

The percentage of adults who voted is $60 \%$.
2. $60 \%$ of male adults voted. Percentage of male adults who voted is $60 \%$.
3. $60 \%$ of adults who are men voted.

Percentage of adults who are men who voted is $60 \%$. [Convert \#3 to \#2 first.]

## Confusion of the Inverse: Exchanging Part with Whole

1. Simple reversal:
"The percentage of men who are in the military" versus "the percentage of the military who are men".
2. Tricky grammar reversal:
"The percentage of smokers who are women" versus
"The percentage of smokers among women".
3. Plausible claim, but the inverse is what is needed:
"Most CEOs had a pet as a child" versus
"Must children who had a pet became CEOs" or
"Children who had a pet are more likely to become CEOs".

## Conclusion

Students need a better understanding of the words and ideas involving statistics in arguments.

Statistical Literacy should be taught across the curriculum.

Learning this takes time - lots of time
Teaching this is not easy, but it is important!
Literacy is at least as important as the math!

## Statistical Literacy is Quantitative Rhetoric

Deals with statistics in arguments.
Much of today's 'fake news' involves the use or misuse of statistics in arguments.

Students need statistical literacy in order to understand and evaluate the claims being made.

Students need statistical literacy to become critical thinkers in a complex modern democracy.

