The Key Components of a Numeracy Infusion Course for Higher Education (NICHE): Using Best Practices to Train Faculty

Esther Isabelle Wilder,¹ Elin Waring,¹ Frank Wang,² and Dene Hurley³

¹Department of Sociology, Lehman College and The Graduate Center, The City University of New York, 250 Bedford Park Boulevard West, Bronx, NY 10468

²Department of Mathematics, Engineering and Computer Science, LaGuardia Community College, 31-10 Thomson Avenue, Long Island City, NY 11101

³Department of Economics and Business, Lehman College, The City University of New York, 250 Bedford Park Boulevard West, Bronx, NY 10468

Abstract

Faculty at the City University of New York (CUNY) have developed a Numeracy Infusion Course for Higher Education (NICHE) that teaches best practices for effective Quantitative Reasoning (QR) instruction to faculty in a wide range of disciplines. NICHE is a predominantly online course that consists of 8 separate units: (1) QR and Making Numbers Meaningful; (2) QR Learning Outcomes; (3) The Brain, Cognition and QR; (4) QR and Writing; (5) Discovery Methods; (6) Representations of Data; (7) QR Assessment; and (8) QR Stereotypes and Culture. This paper describes the key components of NICHE and shows how the same strategies recognized as effective methods for teaching QR can be employed in training faculty as QR instructors. Course activities, interactive discussions, and faculty-developed instructional materials are also presented.

For additional information, please visit our website (www.teachqr.com) or email Esther Wilder (ewilder@gc.cuny.edu).

	Minor-	Fe-	Low	First	Prov.	Work	SAT	SAT
	ity ¹	male	inc. ²	gen. ³	care ⁴	21 + 5	rdng. ⁶	math ⁷
Senior colleges	43	60	50	41	59	22		
Baruch	25	49	46	41	53	18	570	640
Brooklyn	39	61	53	46	67	22	535	565
City	54	53	53	36	55	16	505	560
Hunter	31	69	43	35	60	19	570	580
John Jay	60	57	49	42	60	30	465	475
Lehman	78	69	52	49	63	22	500	510
Queens	26	60	44	36	55	23	535	570
York	69	66	57	48	67	26	438	465
Comp. colls.	56	54	53	42	57	23	_	
M. Evers	96	73	65	59	71	25		
NYC Tech.	66	45	60	45	50	19		
Staten Island	26	57	38	35	58	27	490	515
Comm. colls.	67	57	65	51	58	20	_	_
Bronx	94	58	74	59	60	32		
Hostos	93	67	80	55	62	19		_
Kingsboro.	50	55	61	44	61	18		
LaGuardia	62	58	64	50	57	23		
Manhattan	73	58	63	52	54	19		
Guttman	81	52						
Queensboro.	53	54	60	49	61	14		
Total, CUNY	53	58	67	45	59	21	_	_

 Table 1: Sociodemographic Characteristics of CUNY Students, 2012

Sources: City University of New York (2013), Grove (2013).

¹Includes American Indian, Alaska Native, Black (not of Hispanic origin), and Hispanic.

² Percentage with household incomes of less than \$30,000. ³ Percentage in the first generation of their family to attend college.

⁴ Percentage providing care to another person; refers only to full-time students.
⁵ Percentage working for pay 21 or more hours per week; refers only to full-time students.
⁶ Estimated median SAT critical reading score. The SAT is required only of incoming first-year students. At some CUNY senior colleges, the majority of students are transfer students.

⁷Estimated median SAT math score.

Tuble II Ell	eeuve Qit motidetion i	s mgmy varada at m	j conege
	Community College	Senior College	Total
Strongly Agree	57%	0%	21%
Somewhat Agree	43%	42%	42%
Neither agree nor disagr	tee 0%	50%	32%
Somewhat Disagree	0%	8%	5%
Strongly Disagree	0%	0%	0%
N	7	12	19

Table 2: "Effective OR Instruction is Highly Valued at My College"

Source: In-person NICHE survey using anonymous clickers, June 2013.

Effort to infuse QR ¹						
Scale of Comfort ²	1–2	3–4	5-6	Total		
1	57%	0%	21%	40%		
2	43%	42%	42%	25%		
3	0%	50%	32%	15%		
4	0%	8%	5%	10%		
5	0%	0%	0%	10%		
Ν	12 (60%)	7 (35%)	1 (5%)	20		

Table 3: Efforts to Infuse QR into Course Instruction, by Comfort in Teaching QR

Source: Pre-NICHE online questionnaire, June 2013.

¹Effort to Infuse QR question asked: On a scale of 1 (very concerted effort) to 7 (no effort), how would you rate the extent of your effort(s) to infuse quantitative reasoning into your course instruction?

²Scale of Comfort questioned asked: *On a scale of 1 (very comfortable) to 7 (very uncomfortable), how would you rate your comfort in teaching QR?* Note: No scores were above 5 on the comfort scale and no scores were above 6 in the

Note: No scores were above 5 on the comfort scale and no scores were above 6 in "Effort to Infuse QR" scale.

Foundational skill or skill set	Fields(s)	Data collect -ion	Tables and/or graphs	Calcu- lation	Expla- nation	Appli- cation	Infer. & deci- sion	Com- muni- cation	Ap- precia- tion
Absolute vs. relative #	math, soc			2	2	2	2		1
Area and volume	Bio		1	1	1		1		
Bivariate analysis	Soc		1		1		1		
Central tendency & dispersion	math, soc		1	2	2	2	2		
Conditional probability	math, econ		1	2	2	2	2	1	
Correlation	Math			1	1	1	1		
Data analysis	Afr stud, bio, math	2	2	1	2	3	2	3	2
Graphical reps.	math, psych		2	1	2	2	2		
Location/ proximity	Bio			1	1				
Percentages/ ratios	math, poli sci			2	2	1	2	1	
Research/ sampling	Psych			1	1	1		1	
Total		2	8	14	17	14	15	6	3

Table 4: NICHE Faculty QR Learning Goals

Source: NICHE 2013. Note: This table does not include information from 2 of the 3 faculty who ultimately withdrew from NICHE.