Model using Trendline Linear 3Factor in Excel 2013<br>by<br>Milo Schield<br>Member: International Statistical Institute US Rep: International Statistical Literacy Project Director, W. M. Keck Statistical Literacy Project<br>Slides at: www.StatLit.org/pdf/<br>Excel2013-Model-Trendline-Linear-3Factor-slides.pdf

##  <br> Goal: Summarize association before/after control for Gender

1. Generate Pivot table (slide 3)
2. Generate two XY charts (slides 4 and 10). Show trend-line (linear model) and $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ as shown.

Subjects are college students.
Data is at www.statlit.org/Excel/Pulse.xlsx
Slide 4: See www.StatLit.org/pdf/Excel2013-Model-Trendline-Linear-Slides.pdf
Slide 10: To put 2 series on same chart, see www.StatLit.org/ pdf/Excel2013-Model-Trendline-Linear-2Y1X-Slides.pdf


## Need separate weight-height Data for Men and for Women

1. Copy data to new sheet. Rename as $\mathbf{N} 2$. Delete pivot table and graph on $\mathbf{N} 2$. Copy headings A1:H1 to J1:Q1.
2. Change Gal headings: Cols $A, C$ and $D$; Add '-F' at end of Pulse1, Height \& Weight.

3. Change Guy headings: Cols J, L and M; Add ' -M ' at end of Pulse1, Height \& Weight.



## Create weight vs. height graph; Show two-series: guys \& gals

Note: Guys are Male $=1$; Gals are Male $=0$.
Assignment: Put two series on same graph.
Show the trendline, equation and $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ for each series.
For detailed instructions, see slides for XL2C at:
$>$ www.StatLit.org/pdf/Excel2013-Model-Trendline-Linear-Slides.pdf

Weight: $\operatorname{Min}=95 \# ;$ Max $=215 \#$
Height: $\mathrm{Min}=65$ "; $\mathrm{Max}=75$ "


## Conclusions

1) We need a better way of modeling - one that gives the same weight-height slope for men and for women. Multivariate linear regression does this automatically.
2) Difference in gender explain part of the association between height and related variables (e.g., weight).
Failure to take into account a relevant confounder can result in associations that are spurious or associations that increase, decrease or reverse.

Moral: What you take into account matters!
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## Generate Summary Statistics Overall and by Gender: \#1

Select all data. Insert Pivot Table.
Use Male for column heading. $0=$ Female; $1=$ Male. Put Height \& Weight in body values. Change Sum to Average. If values spread horizontally, move $\Sigma$ Values from Col to Row.

|  | Male? |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Data | 0 | 1 | Grand Total |
| Average of Height | 65.4 | 70.8 | 68.7 |
| Average of Weight | 123.8 | 158.3 | 145.2 |

1. Average male-female weight difference: 34.5 pounds.
2. Average male-female height difference: 5.4 inches.


## Analysis

1. Weight is associated with height: $\mathrm{R} \wedge 2=0.616$
2. Average male-female weight-difference: 34.5\#
3. Weight difference due to ave height difference: 5.4 inches times 5.1 pounds per inch $=27.5 \#$.
4. The resulting Sex difference (after controlling for height) is 7 pounds. ( 34.5 minus 27.5 )
5. But weight-height slope is confounded by sex. Solution: Analyze each gender separately.

## Need separate weight-height Data for Men and for Women

1. Copy data to new sheet. Rename as $\mathbf{N} 2$. Delete pivot table and graph on N2. Copy headings A1:H1 to J1:Q1.
2. Change Gal headings: Cols $A, C$ and $D$; Add '-F' at end of Pulse1, Height \& Weight.

|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Pulse1-F | Pulse2 | Height-F | Weight-F | Activity | Run? | Smokes? |

3. Change Guy headings: Cols $\mathrm{J}, \mathrm{L}$ and M ; Add ' -M ' at end of Pulse1, Height \& Weight.

| J | K | L | M | N | O | P | Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pulse1-M | Pulse2 | Height-M | Weight-M | Activity | Run? | Smokes? | Male? |

## Select data A1:H93.

 Custom Sort by Male: low to high

## Need separate weight-height data for Men and for Women

## Move guy data (male=1) from A:H to J:Q. Select A37:H93. Move to J2

|  | A | B | C | [ | E | F | G |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Pulse1-F | Pulse2 | Height-F W | Weight-F A | Activity | Run? | Smokes? M | Male? |
| 2 | 58 | 56 | 67 | 125 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 60 | 66 | 62 | 120 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 61 | 70 | 65.5 | 120 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 62 | 100 | 65 | 120 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | J | K | L | M | N | 0 | P | 0 |
| 7 | Pulse1-M | 1 Pulse2 | 2 Height-M | 1 Weight-M | M Activity | Run? | $?$ Smokes? | Male |
| 8 | 48 | 54 | 68 | 150 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 9 | 54 | 56 | 69 | 145 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 10 | 54 | 50 | 69 | 160 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 58 | 70 | 72 | 145 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 58 | 58 | 66 | 135 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

## Create weight vs. height graph; Show two-series: guys \& gals

Note: Guys are Male=1; Gals are Male=0.
Assignment: Put two series on same graph.
Show the trendline, equation and $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ for each series.
For detailed instructions, see slides for XL2C at:
> www.StatLit.org/pdf/Excel2013-Model-Trendline-Linear-Slides.pdf

Weight: Min = 95\#; Max = 215\#
Height: Min = 65"; Max = 75"

Series

## Weinht-F

 Weight-MName:
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## Series

## Weight-F <br> 
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Name: $\quad \mid=' N z^{\prime}!\$ M \$ 1$

## 
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## Analysis

1. If the lines were parallel, the weight difference at any height would be due to the gender difference.
2. If the lines were parallel, the slope would be between 2.6 and 4.4 pounds per inch of height
3. If the weight-height slope was $3.5 \#$ per inch, then the weight difference due to the average height difference would be 17.9\# [5.1" *3.5\#/inch]
4. Given this, the sex difference in weight (after controlling for height) would be 17\# [34.5-17.9]

## Conclusions

1) We need a better way of modeling - one that gives the same weight-height slope for men and for women. Multivariate linear regression does this automatically.
2) Difference in gender explain part of the association between height and related variables (e.g., weight).
Failure to take into account a relevant confounder can result in associations that are spurious or associations that increase, decrease or reverse.

Moral: What you take into account matters!

